Android Application for Language ID*

Pedro Torres-Carrasquillo Robert A. Ford Joel C. Acevedo-Aviles



* This work is sponsored by the Department of the Air Force under Air Force Contract #FA8721-05-C-0002. Opinions, interpretations, conclusions and recommendations are those of the author and are not necessarily endorsed by the United States Government.

SmartPhone LID_1 PAT 4/2/2012



Outline

- Motivation
- Automatic Language Identification (LID)
- SmartPhone Implementation
- System Performance
- Conclusions and Future Work
- Demo



Motivation

- Any unplanned interaction with someone who does not speak our language requires an interpreter
- Language identification is needed first
- Recent example in San Diego Harbor (March 27, 2011)
 - 26-foot sailboat capsized
 - First responders did not recognize language



"...investigators had to bring in interpreters to speak to them, San Diego Fire-Rescue spokesman Maurice Luque said. He did not know what language they spoke."



- Language-based data filtering
- Pre-processing for automated speech applications such as machine translation and speech recognition
- Requesting human interpreters for emergency situations
- Our focus is to develop a Smartphone application that addresses the latter scenario (i.e. routing language service requests in emergency situations)



- Implement a LID system on an Android based Smartphone
- Evaluate tradeoffs between computational complexity and performance across several phones
- Evaluate performance of in-phone LID system with field testing
- Develop a prototype application that integrates existing Smartphone capabilities with LID to quickly and efficiently route language service requests



 Automatic language identification is the process of determining the language being spoken in a speech utterance without human intervention



SmartPhone LID_6 PAT 4/2/2012



Language identification task: Find messages spoken in a target language



LINCOLN LABORATORY MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY



• Spectral Analysis

Generate frequency component information through Short-Time Fourier Transform

Cepstral Analysis

Separates frequency information that is characteristic of a language from what is common across all languages considered (7 features)

Channel Compensation

Reduces the effects of differences across channels (landline vs cell phone)

Deltas

Encode temporal variation of Cepstral features by computing the differences among neighboring frames

SmartPhone LID_8 PAT 4/2/2012



LID System Gaussian Mixture Modeling

- Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM)
 - Almost any continuous probability distribution can be approximated by a linear combination of Gaussians
- Each language is modeled as a probability distribution over the feature variables





Android LID System Architecture



- The LID system consists of previously developed technology by the HLT group at Lincoln Laboratory (C++)
- Android's Native Development Kit (NDK) allow us to make use of C++ native code



Android Screenshots/Demo



- App starts capturing user's speech and does not stop until final decision is displayed
- When a minimum of audio is captured, it is processed
 - Minimum audio is a system parameter; currently 7-seconds
- A score is generated for each language
- If language score > preset threshold
 - Decision is displayed, otherwise
 - Score all audio captured until this point



System performance versus model complexity Computer Simulation



- Five-Language task: Arabic, Cantonese, English, Mandarin, Spanish
- Test sample nominal length: 30s
- Task: closed-set ID



- Multiple phones were evaluated
 - Older platform (HTC Magic)
 - Newer (Atrix)

	HTC Magic	Samsung Nexus S	HTC Sensation	LG G2x, Motorola Atrix
CPU	Qualcomm MSM7200A	Hummingbird	Qualcomm MSM 8x60	Tegra 2
Processor design	ARM 11	Cortex A8	Scorpion	Cortex A9
Clock	528 MHz	1 GHz	1.2 GHz	1 GHz
Process	90 nm	45 nm	45 nm	40 nm
Out of Order Execution	no	no	partial	yes
Year introduced	2009	2011	2011	2011
Relative performance	1	6	16	18



Average execution time versus model order In-SmartPhone Evaluation





Average execution time versus model order In-SmartPhone Evaluation





Average execution time for different tasks In-SmartPhone Evaluation

- Comparison in execution time between 5 and 50language task
- Fixed model complexity 2048
- 30-sec samples
- Small overhead in computation since in both cases the language independent model is scored and takes most of the processing time

Phone	5 Languages	50 Languages
Nexus S (Hummingbird)	16.9s	21s
Motorola Atrix	7.9s	9s
HTC	10.7s	11s
Droid X2	5.2s	8.6s
LGE G2X	7.9s	9s



- Benchmark Classification Error Rate: 9.1%
 - Computer LID system
 - Development Set
- In-phone evaluation
 - Classification Error Rate: ~20%
 - 7 languages
 Arabic, Mandarin, Vietnamese, Hindi, Russian, Spanish, Turkish
 - Half of captured segments < 10s
- Potential issue with mismatch between 30s system training and amount of speech provided by users



System performance Impact of test segment duration

- Match system
 - Train and test samples of same duration

Speech (s)	Classification error rate (%)
6	28.1
12	16.8
18	10.2
24	9.4
30	9.2
Full sample	9.1

 Mismatched system

 Trained on full sample length

Speech (s)	Classification error rate (%)
6	29.8
12	17.6
18	12.6
24	10.3
30	9.3
Full sample	9.1



- State of the art LID technology has been implemented in Android platform
- Successful evaluation conducted over multiple handsets
 - Newer handset perform in real time
- Additional data is needed to support more in-phone testing



- Implementation of robustness techniques to enhance mismatch between training data and telephone
- Can performance be improved by using multiple systems in combination?
- Is current speech activity detection aggressive enough?
- Use speech time instead of audio time
- Compensate for shorter durations
 - Likely main current source of mismatch
- Evaluate power consumption/battery life for field use
- Study the open-set problem
- Leverage current implementation to extend to speaker identification