* This work is sponsored by the Federal Aviation Administration under Air Force Contract #FA8721-05-C-0002. Opinions, interpretations, recommendations and conclusions are those of the author and are not necessarily endorsed by the United States Government.

Runway Status Light System Operational Concept

Maria Picardi Kuffner

Division 4 Seminar

20 February 2002



999999-1 XYZ 12/7/07







- Motivation
- Operational concept
- Requirements
- Operational scenarios



- Operational evaluation at Dallas Fort Worth Airport
- Summary



The Problem: Airport Surface Accidents



Location	Fatalities	Date
Milan, Italy	118	2001
Tapei, Taiwan	83	2000
Quincy, Illinois	14	1996
Los Angeles, California	34	1991
Detroit, Michigan	12	1990
Omsk, Russia	174	1984
Madrid, Spain	93	1983
Guilin, China	11	1983
Tenerife, Canary Islands	583	1977

Runway incursions are accident precursors





 "A runway incursion is any occurrence on an airport runway involving an aircraft, vehicle, person, or object on the ground that creates a collision hazard or results in a loss of separation with an aircraft taking off, intending to take off, landing, or intending to land."

- Source: FAA Runway Safety Office



Runway Incursions 1993-2001



"Runway collisions at towered American airports could kill 700-800 ... over the next two decades" source: Prof. Arnold I. Barnett, MIT Sloan School

MIT Lincoln Laboratory

IN



Runway Incursions 1993-2001





Pilot deviations and Operational Errors are root cause of runway incursions



Source of pilot confusion



Dallas Fort Worth International Airport



•Solution: increase situational awareness of pilots and vehicle drivers

MIT Lincoln Laboratory

RWSL Conops-7 MPK 12/7/07



Runway Status Lights Concept





• Surveillance-driven lights provide situational awareness of runway status



Runway Status Lights Fixtures





In-pavement fixture



Elevated fixture

- Two types of fixtures

 In-pavement &/or elevated
- Two types of lights
 LED or incandescent
- Two states
 Red or off
- Indicate status only, not clearance!



High level block diagram

UN



RWSL Conops-10 MPK 12/7/07





- Runway status lights operate automatically
 - No controller action required for operation
- Lights must accurately depict runway status
 - Lights must provide safety function
- Lights must not interfere with normal safe surface operations















Operational Evaluation at DFW





RWSL Conops-18 MPK 12/7/07







- Phase 1 (FY '01 '02) Engineering Development
- Phase 2 (FY '02 '03) Shadow Operations
- Phase 3 (FY '03) Operational Evaluation at DFW

Photo courtesy of NASA Ames



Key Human Factors Issues: Controllers





- Tower display
- Workload
- Interference



Key Human Factors Issues: Pilots





- Status ≠ clearance
- Status lights not at all intersections
- Trust and confidence in status depiction



Summary



- Concept
 - Provide automatic depiction of runway status
 - Assure safety via increased pilots' situational awareness
- Issues
 - Pilot and controller acceptance
- Accomplishments and Activities
 - Animated scenarios demonstrated to User Group (including Unions) and FAA Air Traffic Management
 - Operational Concept Document published
 - Operational Evaluation Plan in progress
 - Shadow Operations at DFW center tower this year