Honeywell



High Performance, Environmentally-Adaptive Fault-Tolerant Computing

9th High Performance Embedded Computing Workshop @ M.I.T. Lincoln Laboratory

September 22, 2005

Dr. John R. Samson, Jr. - Honeywell Space System Clearwater, Florida Jeremy Ramos - Honeywell Space System Clearwater, Florida Dr. Alan George, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida Dr. Minesh Patel – Tandel Systems LLC, Clearwater, Florida Raphael Some – Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology

> Contact: John Samson Telephone (727) 539-2449 john.r.samson@honeywell.com

Ereahtrough Technologies







- Introduction
 - New Millennium Program
 - Space Technology (ST) 8 Project
 - ST-8 Project Schedule
 - EAFTC Technology Advance
 - Technology Validation Plan
- EAFTC Flight Experiment
- EAFTC TRL 5 HW Baseline
- EAFTC TRL 5 SW Baseline
- Current Status & Plans
- Summary & Conclusion
- References







While an emerging technology may seem promising and likely to provide the technical capabilities NASA requires, it may also present an unacceptable risk to any exploration mission using it for the first time in space. The goal of NMP is to reduce the risks to, as well as the costs of, future NASA space science missions.

To meet it goals, the NMP identifies and selects leading-edge technologies that will increase the capability of future Science Mission Directorate missions. To identify the crucial technologies required, technologists are guided by the roadmaps of NASA's three mission areas: Sun-Earth System, Solar System, and Universe. The technical requirements outlined in these roadmaps are matched with technologies emerging from the national "pipeline" of current technology-development efforts. Once selected, these untried technologies are demonstrated on NMP in-space validation missions.







ST-8 Mission

Top Level Requirements:

 Demonstrate and validate four (4) ST-8 technology advances in a relevant space environment

- Environmentally Adaptive Fault-Tolerant Computing (EAFTC) experiment *
- Miniature Loop Heat Pipe (MLHP) experiment
- Next Generation Ultraflex (NGU) experiment
- SAILMAST experiment
- Operate in space for at least 6 months

* Relevant space environment for EAFTC experiment is defined as a stressing application executing in the worst radiation environment expected for early adopter missions







New Millennium Program (NMP) – ST-8 Schedule (1) Honeywell

JPL Responsibility: M. Bothwell		Preliminary Master Schedule							NMP-ST Project Schedule Analyst: Rvan H Montporne			
Revision Date: 8/17/05 8:43 AM					2015		2006		http://w	ched-central.jpl.nasa.g		
Tasks & Milestones	Start	Finish	Duration	Slack	FMAMJJ	ASOND	FMAMJJAS	ONDJ	FMAMJJAS	ONDJFMAM		
Mission Milestones	6/15/09	1/13/10	145 d	2495 d								
Launch	6/15/09	6/15/09	0 d	2640 d								
EOM	1/13/10	1/13/10	0 d	2495 d								
Project Phases	10/7/03	3/17/10	1607 d	2452 d	Phase B		F	hase C/D				
Phase A	10/7/03	1/31/05	326 d	3733 d								
Phase B	1/31/05	9/1/06	401 d	3333 d						Review		
Phase C	9/5/06	5/16/08	425 d	2908 d			Phase C/D			ESRR		
Phase D	5/19/08	7/13/09	288 d	2620 d						CR -		
Phase E	7/14/09	3/17/10	168 d	2452 d						CDR -		
Project Reviews	6/16/05	7/13/09	1017 d	2620 d	ESRR 🔿			CR		PSR-		
ESRR	6/16/05	6/16/05	0 d	3637 d	X		~~~		× •	ORR-		
PDR.	7/6/06	7/6/06	0 d	3375 d	· · ·		~					
CR	9/1/06	9/1/06	0 d	3333 d			~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~					
COR	5/15/07	5/15/07	0 d	3160 d			~ ~		~			
ETRR	7/15/08	7/15/08	0 d	2868 d					~ ~			
ORR	4/3/09	4/3/09	0 d	2690 d								
PETR	10/27/08	10/27/08	0.0	2795 d								
MOD	5/1/78	6/1/09	0.4	2670.4								
014D	74170	7/12/00	00	2070 0								
FDAR	11309	//13/09	00	2620 0								
	11/18/06	10/16/08	726 d	2803 d		I WSR	CAR 🚫			ARR 🚫		
PMSR	11/18/05	11/18/05	0 d	3528 d		\diamond						
CAR	8/3/06	8/3/06	0 d	3355 d			\diamond					
ARR	3/24/08	3/24/08	0 d	2948 d						\diamond		
PSR	10/16/0B	10/16/08	0 d	2803 d								
Crit. Path Schedule Reserve	3/24/08	6/15/09	308 d	2640 d						40 d		
Schedule Margin	3/24/08	5/16/08	40 d	2908 d								
Schedule Margin	10/13/08	11/14/08	25 d	2781 d								
Schedule Margin	12/18/08	6/15/09	24 w	528 w								







JPL

New Millennium Program (NMP) – ST-8 Schedule (2) Honeywell

Preliminary Master Schedule

NMP-ST8

Responsibility: M. Bothwell Revision Date: 8/17/05 8:43 AM Project Schedule Analyst: Ryan H Montgomery http://sched-central.jpl.nasa.gov/

						2005				2006				1	7005				
Tacks & Milestones	Start	Finish	Duration	Slack	J F M A M	A L L	SOND	1 F B	A M	3 3 3	A 6 0 1	01	FM	AM.	I I A	50	N D	1.1	A A
Reserve	3/24/08	5/16/08	8 W	581.6 w															
Flight System ATLO	5/19/08	6/16/09	268 d	2639 d															T
S/C-Payload I&T	5/19/08	11/12/08	125 d	2639 d															ſ
S/C-Instrument I&T	5/19/08	6/16/08	1 mo	131.95 mo															- M
System Performance Test	6/17/08	10/8/08	16 w	527.8 w															
System TV Test	8/18/06	9/8/08	3 W	566 W															
Reserve	10/9/08	11/12/08	5 W	527.8 w															
Ship to VAFB	11/13/08	11/14/08	2 d	2639 d															
Launch I&T	11/17/08	6/12/09	141 d	2639 d															
Launch I&T	11/17/08	12/17/08	4.2 w	527.8 w															
Reserve	12/18/08	6/12/09	24 w	527.8 w															
Launch	6/15/09	6/15/09	1 d	2639 d															
Mission Opc & Tech Validation	1/29/09	7/27/08	126 d	2810 d															
Ground System Implementation	9/23/06	12/28/08	816 d	2764 d			TBD												





Processing Platforms for New Science

- The success of recent rover missions are a perfect example of the type of science we want to support
- Though returns from rover missions are significant they could be orders of magnitude greater with sufficient autonomy and on-board processing capabilities





- Similarly, deep space probes as well as Earth orbiting instruments can benefit from increases in on-board processing capabilities
- In all cases increases in science data returns are dependent on the spacecraft's processing platform capabilities







- A spacecraft onboard payload data processing system architecture, including a software framework and set of fault tolerance techniques, which provides:
 - A. An architecture and methodology that enables COTS based, high performance, scalable, multi-computer systems, incorporating reconfigurable co-processors, and supporting parallel/distributed processing for science codes, that accommodates future COTS parts/standards through upgrades.
 - B. An application software development and runtime environment that is familiar to science application developers, and facilitates porting of applications from the laboratory to the spacecraft payload data processor.
 - C. An autonomous and adaptive controller for fault tolerance configuration, responsive to environment, application criticality and system mode, that maintains required dependability and availability while optimizing resource utilization and system efficiency.
 - D. Methods and tools which allow the prediction of the system's behavior in the space environment, including: predictions of availability, dependability, fault rates/types, and system level performance.







EAFTC Hardware Architecture

Honeywell



Addresses Technology Advance components A, B, and C







EAFTC Software Architecture







Methodology for Migrating COTS Technology to Space



11



EAFTC Model Flow

Honeywell

Inputs: Inputs: • Orbit Decomposed HW Architecture • Epoch Comprehensive Fault Model Canonical Radiation Fault Model characterization Particle of components Canonical fluxes, Inputs: Rad Effects System fault types Energies, • Mission application Model architecture & component characterization and constraints Canonical • HW architecture SEE effects • Peak Throughput per CPU fault types • Number of nodes in cluster HW SEU Algorithm/Architecture Coupling **Susceptibility Model** Efficiency for application Model Network-level parallelization efficiency Addresses Technology Fault rates for Measured OS and FT Services Advance component D each fault type in overhead the canonical Measured execution times for Availability fault model (λ_n) applications & Reliability Inputs: **Models** Probability that fault effects application • Detection coverage for each fault/error type **Availability** in the canonical model & Reliability Performance • Recovery coverage for each fault/error type in the canonical fault model Model Detection and recovery latencies for each fault • Number of mode change types and rates **Delivered Throughput** • Time to effect mode change **Delivered Throughput Density** • Probability that mode change is successful **Effective System Utilization** 12

EAFTC Technology Advances to TRL7 Flight Experiment Honeywell







- Three prototype systems will be implemented
 - the prototype systems will implement the EAFTC architecture in both hardware and software domains
 - P4, P5, and P6 systems will be used to perform TRL4, TRL5, and TRL6 respectively
 - used for software development and test
- The final ground based system configuration will consist of the spacequalified flight system
 - called Flight 1 (F1)
 - will be used to perform final software tests
 - will be used in flight for TRL7 demonstration and validation







Honeywell

EAFTC Flight System







The EAFTC High Performance COTS Computing Experiment shall validate that the technology is capable of:

- Providing a minimum of 100 MIPS/Watt of sustained general purpose parallel processing throughput when applied to scientific data processing in the nominal (non-solar flare) LEO and GEO natural space radiation environments (Requirement 1.0-1)
- Providing 0.995 reliability and 0.995 availability over a 5 year mission in LEO and GEO environments by applying the data obtained during the in-space validation experiment to the system models developed during the formulation refinement and implementation phases

(Requirement 1.0-2)

Rationale: If EAFTC technology is to be successful, the primary service it must deliver high throughput density (MIPS/watt) to the science application with high reliability and high availability. In order for EAFTC technology to be of interest to the science community, it must provide at least 10X the throughput density of an alternative radiation tolerant system. Providing high throughput density alone is insufficient. Providing high throughput density with low availability delivers low effective system utilization to the application. The system must be able to meet the reliability requirements for a long term, i.e., at least a 5-year, science mission. EAFTC technology must be applicable to wide variety of future mission applications.





Relevant Space Environments

Honeywell

Space Environment	Orbit Parameters (Apogee x Perigee x Inclination)	Heavy Ion	Proton	Rationale				
GEO	35,790 km x 35,790 km x 0 °	Major contributor to SEU rate	Low	Common orbit with a consistent environment				
Deep Space (interplanetary space)	Beyond Geo	Assume same as GEO	Low	We will use GEO for deep space assessments				
LEO	1470 km x 1470 km x 53°	Moderate	Rich proton and electron due to Van Allen Belts.	Trapped belt exposure; stressing proton environment				
ALSF (Solar Flare)	Will use GEO for maximum exposure	High	High	Worst case environment for long term space missions				
NM ST-8 (proposed) *	1400 km x 300 km x 70 ° - 90 °	Moderate at Apogee Low at Perigee	Moderate at Apogee Absent at Perigee	NMP ST-8 experiment orbit. Representative of varying proton and heavy ion fluxes				

* Selected by NASA to maximize EAFTC experiment data collection





Relevant Space Environment – Heavy Ion Spectrum

Honeywell



Adam's 90% Worst Case encompasses the other candidate environments including LEO, GEO, and the NMP ST-8 elliptical 300km x 1400 km orbit at 70° inclination, and will be used to drive the EAFTC Flight Experiment Payload design for a heavy ion environment









The 1470km orbit is the selection for ST-8 proton design criterion since it is an upper bound of the other candidate environments.







- Based upon radiation effects analysis completed to date, >6000 upsets are expected in the proposed 4-month EAFTC flight experiment
 - >50 upsets per day
- Three candidate science applications are being considered for the flight experiments: 2D FFT, LU Decomposition, science application NASA GFSC Developed for the REE program
 - all of these applications can be tailored to: 1) stress the EAFTC system, and
 2) fit within the hardware capabilities of the EAFTC Flight Experiment Payload







EAFTC Flight Experiment Configuration





Experiment System







SEU Fault Tolerance Experiment Data Collection

Honeywell



* The Experiment Diagnostic Sensor is not part of the EAFTC technology validation. It is needed for correlation of the occurrence of SEU events and the radiation environment, and for calibration of the Radiation Effects/HW SEU Susceptibility Models















EAFTC Software Architecture (TRL5+)









EAFTC Software Components Collaboration

Honeywell

- EAFTC Middleware Components
 - Environmental Sensor Monitor (ESM)
 - Job Management Services (JMS)
 - -- Job Manager (JM) + Job Management Agent (JMA)
 - Fault Tolerance Management Services (FTMS)
 - -- Fault Tolerance Manager (FTM) + Fault Tolerance Management Agent

(FTMA)

- High Availability Middleware Services (HAM)
- Message Passing Interface (MPI)
- FPGA Co-Processor Services (FCPS)





Links in **Red** are HAM DMS based communication links.



Environment Sensor Manager









- Successful TRL 4 demonstration for ST-8 Phase A TMA (Technology Maturity Assessment)
 - parallel processing platform with FPGA co-processor acceleration
 - environmental adaptivity
 - -- environmental sensing alert generation & response
 - -- replicated services (SCP, TMR, etc.)
 - -- application/process priority
 - -- system operating mode
- Successfully passed TRL5 E-SRR (Experiment Systems Requirements Review) gate
- Successfully demonstrated the easy porting of HA Middleware on a number of platforms with a variety of PPC engines (750FX, 970, & 603e) with VxWorks and a variety of Linux OS (Monta Vista, Yellow Dog, Red Hat)
 - conducted several demonstrations, e.g.,
 - -- checkpoint and fail-over model
 - -- checkpoint and fail-over application on active, standby, and unassigned nodes





- Get through the normal TRL5 NMP "gates" to move on to Phase C (Implementation Phase)
- TRL5 spiral development and testing
 - emphasis on high performance fault-tolerant cluster processing
 - SWIFI (Software Implemented Fault Injection)
 - addition of ABFT (Algorithm-Based Fault Tolerance) capability
- Conduct successful TRL5 TMA demonstration
- Radiation characterization of key, but as yet untested, COTS components
 - processing node bridge ship
 - high performance network switch







- Environmental adaptivity encompasses more than responsiveness to the radiation environment
 - functional criticality
 - application/process priority
 - system operating mode
- Environmental adaptivity is only a part of EAFTC technology
- Focus of Phase B EAFTC technology development is on high performance, faulttolerant cluster processing for science applications
- EAFTC technology is equally applicable to other application domains
 - rovers
 - landers
 - UAVs
 - rad hard space applications
- Unlike previous attempts to migrate high performance COTS processing to space (Space Touchstone, REE, ISAC), the NMP ST-8 program has "legs"
 - NASA NMP is providing the ride
 - Orbital Science Corporation has been selected to be the S/C provider
 - Pegasus has been selected as the launch vehicle







- [1] Ramos, Jeremy, and D. Brenner, "Environmentally-Adaptive Fault Tolerant Computing (EAFTC): An Enabling Technology for COTS based Space Computing," *Proceedings of Proceedings of the 2004 IEEE Aerospace Conference*, Big Sky, MN, March 8-15, 2004.
- [2] Samson, Jr. John R., "Migrating High Performance Computing to Space," 7th High Performance Embedded Computing Workshop, M.I.T. Lincoln Laboratory, September 22, 2003.
- [3] Samson, Jr., John R., "Space Touchstone Experimental Program (STEP) – Final Report 002AD," January 15, 1996.
- [4] Karapetian, Arbi, R. Some, and J. Behan, "Radiation Fault Modeling and Fault Rate Estimation for a COTS Based Space-borne Computer," *Proceedings of Proceedings of the 2002 IEEE Aerospace Conference*, Big Sky, MN, March 9-16, 2002.
- [5] Some, Raphael, W. Kim, G. Khanoyan, and L. Callum, "Fault Injection Experiment Results in Space Borne Parallel Application Programs," *Proceedings of Proceedings of the 2002 IEEE Aerospace Conference*, Big Sky, MN, March 9-16, 2002.





- [6] Some, Raphael, J. Behan, G. Khanoyan, L. Callum, and A. Agrawal, "Fault-Tolerant Systems Design Estimating Cache Contents and Usage," *Proceedings of Proceedings of the 2002 IEEE Aerospace Conference*, Big Sky, MN, March 9-16, 2002.
- [7] Lovellette, Michael, and K. Wood, "Strategies for Fault-Tolerant, Space-Based Computing: Lessons Learned for the the ARGOS Testbed," *Proceedings of Proceedings of the 2002 IEEE Aerospace Conference*, Big Sky, MN, March 9-16, 2002.
- [8] Samson, Jr., John R., and C. Markiewicz, "Adaptive Resource Management (ARM) Middleware and System Architecture – the Path for Using COTS in Space," *Proceedings of the 2000 IEEE Aerospace Conference*, Big Sky, MN, March 8-15, 2000.
- [9] Samson, Jr., John R., L. Dela Torre, J. Ring, and T. Stottlar, "A Comparison of Algorithm-Based Fault Tolerance and Traditional Redundant Self-Checking for SEU Mitigation," *Proceedings of the 20th Digital Avionics Systems Conference*, Daytona Beach, Florida, 18 October 2001.







- [10] Samson, Jr., John R., "SEUs from a System Perspective," Single Event Upsets in Future Computing Systems Workshop, Pasadena, CA, May 20, 2003.
- [11] Prado, Ed, J. R. Samson, Jr., and D. Spina. "The COTS Conundrum," *Proceedings of the 2000 IEEE Aerospace Conference*, Big Sky, MN, March 9-15, 2003.







Acknowledgement

Honeywell

The Environmentally Adaptive Fault-Tolerant Computing effort is funded under NASA NMP ST-8 contract NMO-710209.



