



Implementation of an Embedded DoD VSIPL Application on the DARPA Polymorphous Computing Architectures (PCA) Raw Processor

High Performance Embedded Computing Workshop 2005 MIT Lincoln Laboratory 22 September 2005

Joe Cook / Louis Morda / Rick Pancoast - Lockheed Martin MS2 Steve Crago / Jinwoo Suh - USC-ISI





HPEC 2005 JJC/ETP 1 9/22/05



DARPA is Developing Polymorphous Computing Architectures (PCA)





Mission: Enable reactive multi-mission and in-flight retargetable embedded information computing systems that will reduce mission computing payload adaptation, optimization, and verification times from months and years to minutes. Develop processing architectures that can reconfigure and adapt to mission requirements.





Extended Sensor System Service Life







DARPA is Developing Polymorphous Computing Architectures (PCA)



Have







Background: Current DoD embedded information computing systems can be characterized as static in nature, relying on hardware driven heterogeneous point-solutions that represent fixed architectures and software optimizations. Today's embedded computing systems were developed for fixed mission scenarios and can not provide the robust embedded processing capability necessary to fully support retargetable and multi-mission systems. Nor are they able to accommodate a growing reliance on reactive and dynamic collaborative information centric strategies.









How Will DoD System Integrators Develop / Program / Integrate & Test PCA Architectures?

- Software Design and Development Costs Far Exceed the Hardware Development Costs for a Large DoD Program
- When New Chips and Architectures Become Available to the Community, How Do We Design Them into DoD Systems?
- DoD System Integrators Cannot Afford to Develop the Specialized Talent Required to Program Unique Chips and Architectures at the Lowest Levels (e.g. Microcode Applications and Fine Grain Data Movement)
- One Solution to the Dilemma Pursued by Lockheed Martin MS2 is to use Industry Standard APIs (e.g. MPI, VSIPL, HPEC-SI / VSIPL++, CORBA, Data Re-Org, etc.) to Implement the Application
 - The Portability Provided Significantly Reduces Software Development Cost and Enhances Re-Targeting and Reuse

We Have Begun to Implement One of Our Standard Processing Benchmarks, Radar Pulse Compression, on the PCA Raw Chip Architecture from MIT, Using a C VSIPL API





HPEC 2005 JJC/ETP 4 9/22/05





- Run the VSIPL / VSIPL++ API on the Host Processor, and Call Assembly or Microcoded Functions (Primitives) that are Optimized for the Particular Architecture of Interest (PCA, FPGA, etc.)
 - This is the Approach Selected for the Pulse Compression VSIPL / RAW Demonstration, Since it is Probably the Easiest to Implement and we Have the Necessary Primitive Functions
- VSIPL++ Calls on the Host Invoke an Optimized C++ Compiler for the Attached PCA Architecture
 - This appears to be the approach that UT Austin is taking with the TRIPS C compiler
- C++ / VSIPL++ is Compiled and Optimized Directly for the PCA Architecture of Interest, Viewed as a "Parallel Processor" that Exploits Parallel VSIPL++ Optimizations
 - There Are Probably Other Approaches That Will Evolve







- Develop a MATLAB Program to Perform Frequency Domain Pulse Compression using an FFT - Complex Multiply by a Reference Function - Inverse FFT Algorithm; Provide Input Data Set, Frequency Domain Reference Waveform, and Output Data Set for Comparison [Complete]
- Develop a C / VSIPL Generic Implementation (Using Randy Judd's C VSIPL Reference Library) of the MATLAB Pulse Compression Algorithm [Complete]
- Develop a C Program that will Execute on the Host Processor, and Execute a Streaming VSIPL (Wrapper) Algorithm (e.g Pulse Compression) on the Raw Processor on the Handheld Board [VSIPL Running on Raw Simulator - VSIPL on Raw In-Progress]
- Provide a Demonstration GUI in Java using Ptolemy Ptplot [Prototype GUI is Running]

mation Sciences Institute

Morph the Environment to Switch to a Threaded Algorithm Process (e.g. Integrated Radar Search & Track Tracking Algorithm) on Raw; [In-Progress]

Compare the Output from Raw (Validate) with the Output From the MATLAB Simulation and Demonstrate for USC-ISI, DARPA and the Navy / MDA; Develop a DoD Transition Plan



HPEC 2005 JJC/ETP 6 9/22/05



- Run the VSIPL / VSIPL++ API on the Host Processor, and Call Assembly or Microcoded Functions (Primitives) that are Optimized for the Raw Architecture
- Input Data is Plotted on the Host Processor then Downloaded to the PCA Raw Processor Chip
- Graphic on Host Processor Indicates which Raw Tiles are Executing which VSIPL Pulse Compression Function Primitives
- Output Data is Uploaded to the Host Processor from the PCA Raw Chip then Plotted on the Host Processor
- The Above Cycle Repeats to Represent Real-Time Repetitive Radar Pulse Compression Operations







AMP Phase 2 Demo Approach





Data Sets Verified by Running
 Through a MATLAB Pulse Compression
 Algorithm Offline

Data Transferred From Host Processor
 to Raw Processor

-1K FFT, Complex Multiply, and IFFT implemented on Raw Chip; Invoked via VSIPL / VSIPL++ API in the Host Processor

 Pulse Compression based upon existing Raw Primitives: FFT, complex multiply by a realistic Reference Function, & IFFT

Morph from a Streaming Pulse
 Compression Process to a Threaded
 Track Process



LOCKHEED MARTIN Maritime Systems & Sensors HPEC 2005 JJC/ETP 8 9/22/05





AMP VSIPL / Raw Demo Display







LOCKHEED MARTIN Maritime Systems & Sensors

HPEC 2005 JJC/ETP 10 9/22/05





void pulseCompress(in, ref, out)

{... Generic Pulse Compression Code ... }
void pulseCompress(vsip_cvview_f * in, vsip_cvview_f* ref, vsip_cvview_f* out) { vsip_length size = vsip_cvgetlength_f(in); //FFT OBJECT SETUP REQUIRED BY VSIPL vsip_fft_f *forwardFft = vsip_ccfftop_create_f(size, 1.0, VSIP_FFT_FWD,1,VSIP_ALG_SPACE); vsip_fft_f *inverseFft = vsip_ccfftop_create_f(size,1.0/size,VSIP_FFT_INV,1,VSIP_ALG_SPACE); **I/TEMPORARY VIEWS TO HOLD INTERMEDIATE OUTPUTS** vsip_cvview_f *tmpView1=vsip_cvcreate_f(size,VSIP_MEM_NONE); vsip_cvview_f *tmpView2=vsip_cvcreate_f(size,VSIP_MEM_NONE); //FORWARD FFT vsip_ccfftop_f(forwardFft,in,tmpView1); **//COMPLEX MULTIPY BY REFERENCE WAVEFORM** vsip_cvmul_f(tmpView1,ref,tmpView2); //INVERSE FFT vsip_ccfftop_f(inverseFft,tmpView2,out); **Previously Developed** //CLEAN-UP vsip_cvalldestroy_f(tmpView1); **Generic Pulse** vsip_cvalldestroy_f(tmpView2); **Compression Code** vsip_fft_destroy_f(forwardFft); vsip_fft_destroy_f(inverseFft) }



LOCKHEED MARTIN Maritime Systems & Sensors HPEC 2005 JJC/ETP 11 9/22/05







Common VSIPL interface allows Application Designer to develop "Write Once, Use Anywhere" Code

Reduced Portability Costs, and Increasing Platform Options









- Increased computational resources make managing bandwidth more critical
 - I/O has not scaled as fast as computational power
 - Architects have always known this, but the software has not caught up





- Low latency connections between compute tiles expose new software issues
 - Library-based message passing paradigm insufficient









- Inter-procedural optimizations critical
 - Data cannot be sent to off-chip memory modules between all computations
- Compiler must understand data movement issues
 - Language cannot obscure data flow
- PCA just beginning to address these issues
 R-stream, StreaMIT, SVM
- HPEC-SI just starting to address multiprocessors
 - Focused on standardization and tech transfer, not research
 - Just starting to think about tiled architectures







- Frequency Domain Pulse Compression Demonstration is Planned for 4Q CY2005 Using C / VSIPL API, Implemented on Raw
- Morph the Environment to Switch to a Threaded Algorithm Process on Raw Using a Demonstration GUI
- More compiler/software problems to be solved to optimize for PCA architectures
- Validate the Output from Raw and Demonstrate for USC-ISI, DARPA and the Navy / MDA; Develop a DoD Transition Plan







PCA to DoD Transition Plan



- Lockheed Martin MS2 is Writing Real-Time Embedded Signal Processing Application Code Using Industry Standard APIs (MPI, VSIPL, VSIPL++, etc.) for Next Generation Shipboard Ballistic Missile Defense (and Other Applications)
- PCA Architectures May Provide a Significant Advantage in Size, Weight, Power, Cost, etc. for DoD Applications
- Industry Standard APIs and Middleware on the PCA Architectures Will Provide the Portability Necessary to Transition Mainstream PowerPC Applications to PCA Architectures for DoD
- Demonstrations for Other Lockheed Martin Businesses and DoD Program Managers Will Provide Metrics for Improving SWEPT







- Work presented is funded by DARPA IPTO on the Polymorphous Computing Architectures (PCA) Program
- Material contained herein is the opinion of the author, and does not imply endorsement by the US Government.
- Thanks to USC-ISI East, Steve Crago and Jinwoo Suh, for Support in Utilizing the Raw Processor Board in the USC-ISI Laboratory.



