



## Initial Kernel Timing Using a Simple PIM Performance Model

Daniel S. Katz<sup>1\*</sup>, Gary L. Block<sup>1</sup>, Jay B. Brockman<sup>2</sup>, David Callahan<sup>3</sup>, Paul L. Springer<sup>1</sup>, Thomas Sterling<sup>1,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, USA <sup>2</sup>University of Notre Dame, USA <sup>3</sup>Cray Inc., USA <sup>4</sup>California Institute of Technology, USA

\*Technical Group Supervisor Parallel Applications Technologies Group http://pat.jpl.nasa.gov/ Daniel.S.Katz@jpl.nasa.gov





## Purpose of this Poster

 Discuss initial results of paper-and-pencil studies of 4 application kernels applied to a processor-in-memory (PIM) system roughly similar to the Cascade Lightweight Processor (LWP)

## • Application kernels:

- Linked list traversal
- Vector sum
- Bitonic sort
- Intent of work is to guide and validate work on Cascade in the areas of compilers, simulators, and languages





## **Poster Topics**

- Generic PIM structure
- Concepts needed to program a parallel PIM system
  - Locality
  - Threads
  - · Parcels
- Simple PIM performance model
- For each kernel:
  - Code(s) for a single PIM node
  - Code(s) for multiple PIM nodes that move data to threads
  - Code(s) for multiple PIM nodes
    that move threads to data

Assembly <sup>-</sup>	This Code C	C-	++	Matlab
✓ I closer to h/w	/	more	ex	pressive

- Hand-drafted timing forecasts, based on the simple PIM performance model
- Lessons learned
  - What programming styles seem to work best
    - Looking at both expressiveness and performance

Parallel Applications Technologies Group - http://pat.jpl.nasa.gov/