Signal Processing Architectures for Ultra-Wideband Wide-Angle Synthetic Aperture Radar Applications

Atindra Mitra AFRL/SNRR Joe Germann Josky Computers

John Nehrbass ASC/HPC

High Performance Embedded Computing Symposium

MIT Lincoln Laboratory

September 24, 2002





Abstract



Approaches for parallel implementation of real-time image formation processing (IFP) for ultra-wideband wide-angle synthetic aperture radar (SAR) are discussed. Integration over wide-angles and ultra-wideband waveforms are typically required for applications with low operating frequencies as well as other important applications [1] [2] [3]. The wide integration angles and ultra-wideband (UWB) waveforms that are implemented for these type of state-of-the-art systems are intended to compensate for a loss in resolution in relation to systems that operate under standard systems parameters. State-of-the-art SAR image formation algorithms that address this ultra-wideband wide-angle problem includes time-domain backprojection-type algorithms and Fourier-based processing algorithms. An analysis of these algorithms in terms of computational gains as a function of HPC implementation parameters is presented. A sample set of simulation results are included that illustrate the trade-offs between image quality and computational efficiency. In addition, a discussion of approaches to implementing IFP post-processing algorithms that specifically address the real-time nature of this problem are presented.



Basic Approaches To SAR IFP For Purposes Of This Investigation



Fourier-Based Approach With Mo-Comp to Scene Center [2]

- Traditionally Considered Computationally Efficient Frequency-Domain Technique for Purposes Real-Time Implementation [1] [2]
- Image Quality Tends to Degrade with Distance from Scene Center

Time-Domain Backprojection [1]

- Even "Fast" Versions Traditionally Considered Too Computationally Intensive for Real-Time Airborne SAR IFP [3]
- Generates High-Quality Imagery
- Simulation Results Presented with Various Levels of Accuracy for Interpolator:
 nratio = 1, 2, 8, 20, 50, 100, 200
 where nratio is a "upsampling factor" for each time-domain radar pulse

Fourier-Based Approach with Post-Processing [1] [2]

- Also with Mo-Comp to Scene Center as with Approach 1 Above
- Advanced Post-Processing Techniques Show Potential for Auto-Correction of Possible Sensor Calibration Errors Due to a Number of Physical Effects
- This Block-by-Block Post-Processing is Performed at the Expense of Computational Efficiency



Six Notional Simulation Cases Defined For Comparative Investigation [1]



• case 1	Xc=1000	X0=100	Y0=120
• case 2	Xc=5000	X0=100	Y0=120
• case 3	Xc=6000	X0=240	Y0=222
• case 4	Xc=6000	X0=480	Y0=480
• case 5	Xc=6000	X0=600	Y 0=600
• case 6	Xc=6000	X0=960	Y0=886

Where, for a given set of SAR system parameters, Xc is the Distance From the Platform to Scene Center in Meters, X0 is the Range Dimension of the Scene Size in Meters, and Y0 is the Cross-Range Dimension of the Scene Size in Meters


Case 1 (with total of all algorithms and all sets of algorithm parameters) executes in ~ 2.5 minutes on SOA single-processor PC with 512 Mbytes of RAM

Case 6 (with total all algorithms and all sets of algorithm parameters) executes in ~ 15 hours on SOA single-processor PC with 512 Mbytes of RAM





Simulated Wide-Angle SAR Signal








Model for Small Residual Error Signal


Small Residual Error Signal Is Injected Into Signal On Previous Chart





HPC Approach For Fourier-Based IFP and Auto-Cal Post-Processing






HPC Resource Management and Communications Paradigm Is Designed Such That Each Processor Is Assigned A Group of Localized Windows For Intensive Auto-Cal Post-Processing. The Computational Load Per Processor Is Determined By The Total Of Localized Windows That Are Selected And The Number Of Processors. This Block-by-Block Auto-Cal Post-Processing Is Intended To "Re-Calibrate" Localized Regions Of The Image For Mo-Comp Errors During The Fourier-Based IFP Which Performs Mo-Comp To Scene Center and To "Re-Calibrate" Localized Regions Due to Residual "Time Dependent" Sensor Fluctuations






After Matched Filtering in Range Dimension











After Matched Filtering in Cross Range and Interpolation To Compensate for Range Migration and Range Curvature Due To Wide-Angle IFP Process for UWB Radar Application







W/O Auto-Cal Post-Processing




With Auto-Cal Post-Processing



HPC Approach For Backprojection Implementation











n_ratio = 1









n_ratio = 2
























W/O Auto-Cal

n_ratio = **200**


With Auto-Cal




HPC Analysis

Case 6











HPC Analysis

16 Processors









Summary



- Parallel Implementations for Three Approaches To IFP for Real-Time UWB SAR Were Developed and Investigated
- Preliminary Results Indicate That Backprojection Implementation is Computationally Efficient and Generates Good Image Quality for Many Real-Time UWB SAR Applications of Interest
- Preliminary Results Indicate That Low-Order Interpolator for Backprojection Implementation May Generate Acceptable Image Quality for Many Real-Time UWB SAR Applications of Interest
- Preliminary Results Indicate That Fourier-Based IFP Processing With Intensive Auto-Cal Post-Processing Can Be Developed and Implemented To Compensate For Defocusing Errors On Systems and Scenarios That Are Associated With Significant Amounts of Residual Errors Due To Time-Dependent Sensor Fluctuations and Mo-Comp Sensor Errors



References



- [1] Mehrdad Soumekh, Synthetic Aperture Radar Signal Processing with MATLAB Algorithms, Wiley Interscience, 1999.
- [2] W. G. Carrara, et al. Spotlight Synthetic Aperture Radar Signal Processing Algorithms. Artech House, 1995.
- [3] A. F. Yegulalp, "Fast Backprojection Algorithm for Synthetic Aperture Radar," Proceedings of the IEEE National Radar Conference, 1999, pp. 60 65.